UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/687,892	10/13/2000	Phillip Koh-Kwe Hsu	74622-014	8983	
21890 PROSKAUER	7590 10/21/200 ROSE LLP	8	EXAMINER		
PATENT DEPA	ARTMENT	CHANDLER, SARA M			
1585 BROADW NEW YORK, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3693		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/21/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	09/687,892 HSU ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	SARA CHANDLER	3693			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>SARA CHANDLER</u> .	(3) <u>Todd Gerety</u> .				
(2) <u>Jagdish Patel</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>10 October 2008</u> .					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) <mark></mark> applicant's representative	e]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) <u> No</u> .				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>27,37 and 42</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: Frerichs.					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	ı)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <a href="Applicant given opportunity to describe invention and why it is believed to be different than prior art applied.">Discussed matters of form to overcome restriction, 101, objections and 112's previously applied.</a> In particular clarification of the intervention system module. The need for user interface and language that may be interpreted as intended/expected result.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW UDATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
/JAGDISH N PATEL/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3693	9/10/08				

Application No.

Applicant(s)